```
Kay Morrison/R10/USEPA/US
```

To Lee van der Voo

08/26/2011 11:16 AM

cc bcc

Subject Re: topic areas for talk about Triangle Lake

I've reached out to our colleagues in HQ with your questions and your contact information. Let me know if you don't hear from anybody and I'll pester them ...

I understand the answers to your local questions are in the works. I, or one of the folks here in the region, will be sending them to you today.

k

Kay Morrison
Community Involvement Coordinator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region 10 Seattle, Washington
206-553-8321

Lee van der Voo Thanks Kay, I haven't heard much back from HQ... 08/26/2011 10:20:31 AM

From: Lee van der Voo (b) (6)
To: Kay Morrison/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 08/26/2011 10:20 AM

Subject: Re: topic areas for talk about Triangle Lake

Lee van der Voo (b) (6)

Kay Morrison/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

> From:
> To:

Thanks Kay, I haven't heard much back from HQ at all. It would be a help if anyone in the region could fill me in. Lee

On Fri, Aug 26, 2011 at 9:32 AM, <Morrison.Kay@epamail.epa.gov> wrote: > No worries on the mixup Lee, I did the research so I sent it. > We're working on written answers to your questions and should be able to > get that to you today. Mark is out of the office today, so I'll be > working with our Public Affairs Director Marianne Holsman (cc'd here) to > gather responses from our experts. > Your question about similar studies on these herbicides is probably one > for the program office (headquarters) - is that correct Marianne? I'll > see if our press folks there can answer and get back to you. > k > Kay Morrison > Community Involvement Coordinator > U.S. Environmental Protection Agency > Region 10 Seattle, Washington > 206-553-8321 > >

```
Mark Macintyre/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
          08/26/2011 09:24 AM
> Date:
> Subject:
                  Re: topic areas for talk about Triangle Lake
> Oh, Kay, apologies. I just realized that it was you and not Mark who
> sent these links to me. Forgive me, I had just gotten off the phone
> with Mark when I received this and he mentioned he'd be sending links
> along. Sorry to scramble you guys.
> Will I be hearing from anyone today on my more local questions? I'd
> still like a better understanding of how the Oregon public health
> study came about and how and when EPA became involved in it. I'd also
> like to know what the EPA's roll is in the investigation going
> forward, which of all the participating agencies will take the lead,
> and what EPA's financial commitments are to the process. Also, if we
> could talk about other similar studies or similar complaints about
> atrazine and 2,4 D around the country, I think that would help me put
> this story in context.
> Possible to nail down these final details today?
> Thanks much,
> Lee
> (b) (6)
> On Thu, Aug 25, 2011 at 10:56 AM, Lee van der Voo (b) (6)
>> Mark, thanks so much. This is great stuff. Lee
>> On Wed, Aug 24, 2011 at 6:02 PM, <Morrison.Kay@epamail.epa.gov>
> wrote:
>>> (cc Mark MacIntyre and Dale Kemery)
>>> Lee, I dug around the EPA website looking for information about the
>>> re-registration of Atrazine. It's not clear to me that all of these
>>> links are related to the re-registration of Atrazine, but they may
>>> you to relevant information. I hope you'll find some useful nuggets
> in
>>> these links:
>>>
>>> "Atrazine Updates" (
>>>
> http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/atrazine/atrazine_update.htm
>>> ) dated June 2011, includes
>>>
      Atrazine Evaluation Process (began fall 2009)
>>>
      Triazine Cumulative Risk Assessment (2006)
>>>
      Atrazine Post-RED Results
         Office of Pesticide Programs' Monitoring in Community Water
>>>
>>>
         Ecological Watershed Monitoring Program
>>>
>>>
         Cancer
>>>
         Amphibians
>>>
>>> Here's the "docket" information for Atrazine (
```

```
>>> http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/reregistration/atrazine/index.htm)
>>> contains links to the content at regulations.gov
>>>
>>> Here's some background information on reevaluation:
>>> http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/reevaluation/
>>> And on reregistration: http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/reregistration/
>>> EPA's background page about regulating pesticides:
>>> http://www.epa.gov/pesticides/regulating/
>>>
>>> Here's the announcement of a new (2009) study of atrazine:
>>>
>>>
http://yosemite.epa.gov/opa/admpress.nsf/0/554b6abea9d0672f85257648004a88c1?Op
enDocument
>
>>>
>>> (2006) Decision Documents for Atrazine -
>>> http://www.epa.gov/oppsrrd1/REDs/atrazine_combined_docs.pdf
      Combined PDF document consists of the following:
>>>
      • Finalization of Atrazine IRED, and Completion of Tolerance
>>>
>>>
      Reassessment and Reregistration Eligibility Process (April 6, 2006)
>>>
      • Revised Atrazine IRED (October 31, 2003)
>>>
      • Atrazine IRED (January 2003)
>>>
>>> I hope this is useful.
>>> k
>>>
>>> Kay Morrison
>>> Community Involvement Coordinator
>>> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
>>> Region 10 Seattle, Washington
>>> 206-553-8321
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> From:
            Lee van der Voo (b) (6)
            Kay Morrison/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
>>> To:
            Mark Macintyre/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
>>> Date:
            08/24/2011 10:14 AM
>>> Subject:
                    Re: topic areas for talk about Triangle Lake
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Sounds great, thanks Kay.
>>> On Tue, Aug 23, 2011 at 6:00 PM, <Morrison.Kay@epamail.epa.gov>
> wrote:
>>>> Thanks Lee, I'll call you as soon as I can get something scheduled
>>> with
>>> our subject matter experts.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Kay Morrison
>>>> Community Involvement Coordinator
>>>> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
>>>> Region 10 Seattle, Washington
>>>> 206-553-8321
```

```
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> From:
             Lee van der Voo (b) (6)
>>>> To:
             Kay Morrison/R10/USEPA/US@EPA
           08/23/2011 05:13 PM
>>>> Date:
>>>> Subject:
                     topic areas for talk about Triangle Lake
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> Hi Kay,
>>>>
>>>> Thanks for your help in organizing some interviews related to the
>>>> Triangle Lake public health study.
>>>> The areas I would like to cover are these: I'd like a better
>>>> understanding of how the study came about and how and when EPA
> became
>>>> involved. I'd also like to know what the EPA's roll is in the
>>> investigation going forward, which agency will take the lead, and
> what
>>>> EPA's financial commitments are to the process. Also, if we could
>>>> generally about other similar studies or similar complaints about
>>>> atrazine and 2,4 D around the country, that would be a help. I'd
> also
>>>> like to know what the possible outcomes are for this process,
>>>> including any possible impact on the atrazine or 2.4 D labels. My
>>>> understanding is that atrazine is currently undergoing re-registry
> and
>>>> that this inquiry could have an impact.
>>>>
>>>> I hope that helps. Please do be in touch and let me know when we can
>>>> talk.
>>>>
>>>> Thanks again,
>>>> Lee
>>> (b) (6)
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
```